



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,676	10/16/2001	Eija Marjut Pirhonen	01942-00003	6631

7590 06/16/2004

John P. Iwanicki
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
28th Floor
28 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, CAMIE S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/981,676

Applicant(s)

PIRHONEN, EIJA MARJUT

Examiner

Camie S Thompson

Art Unit

1774

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12, 15-22, 31-33 and 35-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 13, 14 and 23-28 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed November 21, 2003 have been acknowledged.
2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1, 6, 11, 13, 21-22 and 34.
3. The rejection of claims 1, 13-14, 29 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ylanen et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,248,344 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.
4. The rejection of claims 1, 6 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,655,777 in view of Tormala et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,350,284 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-12, 15-22-24, 31-33 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,655,777.

Dunn discloses a porous composite material comprising sintered bioactive glass fibers wherein the sintering temperature is from 600°C to 1150° for 1 hour as per instant claims 1-5, 17-18 and 23-24 (see column 2, lines 40-68 and column 4, lines 3-12). The reference also discloses that the

Art Unit: 1774

fibers are encased in a matrix of a polymer such as poly(L-lactide) as per instant claims 6-8 (see reference claim 1). Dunn discloses that the bioactive glass fibers were cut in 2-inch lengths and have a diameter from .074 mm - .559 mm as per instant claims 15-16 and 19-20 (see column 8, lines 41-54). Column 11, lines 1-23 of the reference discloses that a poly(DL-lactide film can be attached to the composite material as per instant claims 31-33.

Dunn does not disclose the thickness of the coating and the porosity of the composite material as per instant claim 1, 9-10 and 21-22. The thickness of the coating protects the fibers. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art in re Boesch, 617 F.2. 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a coating on the bioactive glass fibers from about 1 micron to 200microns in order to prevent breakage of the fibers. The bioactive fibers disclosed in the reference are highly porous as shown in column 2, lines 67-68. Therefore, it would be expected that the porosity of the composite comprising the bioactive fibers would be between 5 to 95 volume percent.

Claims 3-5, 11-12 and 35-37 are product by process claims. Even though product by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process. See MPEP 2113.

Art Unit: 1774

7. Claims 13-14 and 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed November 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Dunn reference does not disclose the porosity of the sintered glass material. Although Dunn does not disclose the specific porosity, the reference does disclose the use of ceramic fibers that are highly porous. Also, the porosity is an optimizable feature. The reference discloses that the use of ceramic fibers produces a highly porous material. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the porosity of the material be in the range of 50 to 95 volume percent. Applicant argues that the reference teaches away from the instantly claimed porosity. The reference clearly discloses that ceramic fibers can be used. Although the reference discloses that the glass fibers are preferred, it would be obvious that the glass fibers have a high porosity since ceramic fibers, which have a high porosity, can be used as well.

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1774

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (571) 272-1526. The fax phone number for the Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

